Approved For Release 2000/08/26: CIA-RDP74-00005R000100160002-5

- 1. Entire specification entirely too elaborate.
- 2. Reports and testing will add costs and should not be required if reliable contractor used.
- 3. Use ply decking between rails with main aisles of concrete.

 Should reduce cost and weight factors in the bays not that heavy.

 Would also reduce stress on building.
 - Anti tilt device not needed and is added cost.
- 5. Buzzer and red light are added cost to safety system and really mean nothing.
- 6. We propose to light one bay and activate motor for that bay with switch on stationary. This in place of one aisle at a time and should work on existing circuits in building.
- 7. Specify cubic capacity required as minimum.
- 8. Section B-B on Page 1 of drawings not practical with weight factors involved.
- 9. Equipment specified is Estey and would preclude our bidding if left as written. Wheels Rails.
- 10. Schedule looks to us to be very tight and damages clauses far too strict.
- ll. Negotiated bid rather than a formal bid so that agency will have some latitude in selection of features and service desired.
- 12. More of a performance specification rather than such a detailed material and equipment spec.

Approved For Release 2000/08/26 : CIA-RDP74-00005R000100160002-5